

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 09/826,557	04/05/2001	Akira Oomori	CANO:023	5306
75	90 09/17/2003			
ROSSI & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 826			DANG, KHANH NMN	
Ashburn, VA 2	20146-0826			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2181	4
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-25

.1			, 0			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/826,557	OOMORI, AKIRA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	<u> </u>	Khanh Dang	2181			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application					
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •				
Attachment						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:						
S Patent and Tr	ademark Office	-				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/826,557

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-9 are directed to an apparatus. However, the essential structural cooperative relationships between elements in the claims have been omitted, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01.

In claim 5, the language such as "in a manner ... different transmission methods" is unclear and cannot be ascertained.

In claim 14, the language such as "in a manner ... different transmission methods" is unclear and cannot be ascertained.

Claim 19 is ambiguously constructed. It is unclear whether claim 19 is directed to a "program" (normally comprising instruction steps) or an apparatus comprising a plurality of modules. Further, it is not ascertained what may be "module."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/826,557

Art Unit: 2181

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Johnson et al.

At the outset, it is noted that similar claims will be grouped together to avoid repetition in explanation.

As broadly drafted, these claims do not define any structure/step that differs from Johnson et al. With regard to claims 1 and 19, Johnson et al. discloses a data communication apparatus comprising input means (keypad 14, for example) for inputting data; designating means (receiver destination including destination addresses/distribution list, for example, in Johnson et al.) for designating a plurality of destinations for same data input by the input means, the plurality of destinations (destination addresses) being destinations to which data are to be transmitted by respective different transmission methods; transmitting means for transmitting the same data to the plurality of destinations designated by the designating means by the respective different transmission methods (in Johnson, the same data can be transmitted to a plurality of transmission paths to a plurality of destinations; see also Figs. 2 and 3 and description thereof); and managing means (in Johnson et al., the transmission status, for example, can be managed/updated) for managing information related to transmission by the transmitting means by associating a predetermined

Application/Control Number: 09/826,557

Art Unit: 2181

Page 4

identifier (ID in Johnson et al.) with each of the data input by the input means. With regard to claim 2, the device of Johnson et al. further comprises display means (58) for displaying a list based on the information managed by the managing means. With regard to claim 3, it is clear that in Johnson et al., data can be transmitted using at least one transmission method of E-mail and FTP (File Transfer Protocol). With regard to claim 4, it is clear that at least the scanner (10) of Johnson et al. is readable as a reader for reading images on originals and generating image data corresponding to the images. It is also clear from Johnson et al.; and that data from the reader can be provided to the input means. With regard to claim 5 (as best understood), see at least Figs. 2 and 3, and description thereof). With regard to claim 6, it is clear that a user is able to provide instructions to the system of Johnson et al. With regard to claim 7, it is clear from Johnson et al. that a user is able to stop/interrupt data transmission associated with a particular transmission method and identified by their IDs; or even change the destination of the transmission data. See at least col. 3, lines 63 to col. 5, line 28. With regard to claims 10-18, one using the apparatus of Johnson et al. would have performed the same method steps set forth in claims 10-18.

U.S. Patent Nos. 5,159,187 to Barker et al. are cited as relevant art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Khanh Dang at telephone number 703-308-0211.

Khanh Dang Primary Examiner